

level of care. Building a cadre of doctors and allied practitioners capable of stabilising patients and preventing avoidable deaths in resource-limited settings must become our shared national priority.

Authors: **Manju Mathew** (corresponding author — dr.tresamaria@gmail.com, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9008-5014>), Former Professor, Department of Critical Care, Pushpagiri Medical College hospital, Thiruvalla, Kerala, INDIA; **Anjum John** (dranjumjohn@gmail.com, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9873-8550>), Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Pushpagiri Medical College hospital, Thiruvalla, Kerala, INDIA.

Conflict of Interest: None declared

Funding: None

Acknowledgments: Generative AI (ChatGPT (GPT-5, OpenAI, October 2025 version) was used solely to assist with improving the readability and language of this manuscript. The authors used the prompt "revise text to make it concise and formal scientific tone." The use of ChatGPT was limited to language editing under full human oversight; all scientific content was conceived, verified, and approved entirely by the authors.

To cite: Mathew M, John A. Not numbers alone: Response to editorial "Medical education in India: disturbing trends". *Indian J Med Ethics*. 2026 Jan-Mar; 11(1) NS: 83-84. DOI: 10.20529/IJME.2026.001

Submission received: October 14, 2025

Submission accepted: December 1, 2025

Published online first: January 3, 2026

Copyright and license

©Indian Journal of Medical Ethics 2025: Open Access and Distributed under the Creative Commons license ([CC BY-NC-ND 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/)), which permits only non-commercial and non-modified sharing in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

1. Timms OP, Sanjay A. Medical education in India: disturbing trends. *Indian J Med Ethics*. 2025;10(4) NS:261. <https://doi.org/10.20529/IJME.2025.072>
2. Banerjee B. Innovative strategies in medical education for addressing gaps in health-care service in rural India. *MAMC J Med Sci*. 2022;8(1):11–17. https://doi.org/10.4103/mamcjms.mamcjms_119_21
3. George SA. Addressing India's healthcare worker shortage: evaluating strategies to improve medical education and retention. *Partners Univ Int Res J (PUIRJ)*. 2023;2(3). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8370878>
4. Choules TC. *UK Foundation Programme Curriculum*. London: General Medical Council; 2021[Cited 2025 Dec 10]. Available from: https://www.gmc-uk.org/cdn/documents/fp-curr-oct22-v7_pdf-101343583.pdf
5. Federation of State Medical Boards. *USMLE Overview*. [Cited 2025 Dec 10]. Available from: <https://www.usmle.org/>
6. Bandhu HC, Bandhu SD, Raje S, Singh GP. A Study of Self-Perceived Competence of Medical Interns in India. *South Asian Res J App Med Sci*. 2020 Jul-Aug;2(4):37-43. <https://doi.org/10.36346/sarjams.2020.v02i04.001>
7. Kiguchi T, Okubo M, Nishiyama C, Soar J, Nolan JP, Iwami T et al. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest across the World: First report from the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR). *Resuscitation*. 152, 39 – 49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2020.02.044>
8. Smith G. *ALERT®*. Portsmouth Hospitals NHS Trust. [Cited 2025 Dec 10]. Available from: https://www.porthosp.nhs.uk/departments-and-services/alert-r?utm_source
9. Hamid MA, Younis Z, Mir S, Raza A, Shrivastava N, Raj R. Postgraduate medical training in India: inadequacies and challenges faced by young medical graduates. *Cureus*. 2025 Oct 7;17(10): e94053. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.94053>
10. Pandey SK, Sharma V. Sudden and early death among medical professionals: how to reverse this trend? *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2023 May;71(5):2286-7. https://doi.org/10.4103/IJO.IJO_3229_22

Editorial bias, nepotism, and the "club culture" in Indian medical journals

LOKESH RANA, POOJA GURNAL

We are compelled to address a persistent and troubling issue in Indian medical publishing: the widespread favouritism in editorial decisions. Many Indian journals, rather than serving as impartial scientific platforms, frequently operate in ways that benefit their editorial board members and close associates [1]. As a result, well-researched manuscripts from those outside these circles often encounter unexplained desk rejections or prolonged delays, while submissions from close associates are expedited for publication [2].

This "club culture" is no longer a secret among the research community. Budding young and mid-career faculty are quietly advised that unless they "know someone on the board," the odds of acceptance are thin. The unfavourable result is not just the demoralisation of sincere contributors but also the corrosion of the scientific integrity that journals are supposed to be upholding. The compromised credibility of peer review does harm to the reputation of the Indian research community as a whole [1,2].

When editorial board members repeatedly publish in their own journals without transparent external review, it creates a glaring conflict of interest—a practice that continues largely unchecked. While international standards provide clear guidelines to prevent such exploitation, some Indian journals still function as echo chambers where a handful of individuals dominate published content, stifling true diversity of perspective [3].

Nepotism in publication is not a trivial administrative flaw but an ethical failing. It shuts the door for deserving researchers, often from less privileged or upcoming institutions, for disseminating their work. Making things worse, it degrades the quality of our medical evidence-based research, since subjective editorial preferences, rather than scientific merit, dictate what reaches print. Such practices also explain why high-quality Indian research is increasingly published in international journals, leaving local publications struggling for visibility and impact [4].

Reform in publication standards is overdue for the restoration of trust. Measures are needed like enforcing double-blind peer review as the default for all submissions and an explicit policy that reviewers with conflicts of interest must recuse themselves, especially for submissions from editors. There should be independent handling editors when board members submit articles. There should be a robust review system, where reviewers' comments are published alongside published papers, and when a manuscript is rejected, the reviewers' comments are shared with the

authors. To enhance confidence amongst the research community, journals should also publish an annual transparency report that includes detailed acceptance rates and should place limits on the number of submissions editorial team members may present to their own journals [3,4,5].

Indian medicine prides itself on its global contributions to science. Our journals, however, cannot claim that stature while mired in favouritism and opaque practices. If editors continue to place personal networks above professional duty, they risk alienating the very community they claim to serve. It is time for our editorial leadership to rise above the "friends and acquaintances" culture and reclaim integrity as the true hallmark of Indian medical publishing. They should publish editors' conflicts of interest, limit editorial terms, cap the number of publications from the editorial team, and have ethical audits conducted by external agencies. These steps will enhance the credibility of Indian medical publishing.

Authors: **Lokesh Rana** (corresponding author — dr.lokesh.radio@aiimsbilaspur.edu.in, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0970-6416>), Associate Professor, Radiology, AIIMS, Bilaspur, INDIA; **Pooja Gurnal** (dr.pooja.anaes@aiimsbilapur.edu.in, <https://orcid.org/0009-0006-9628-0829>), Assistant professor, Anaesthesia, AIIMS, Bilaspur, INDIA.

Conflict of Interest: None declared

Funding: None

To cite: Rana L, Gurnal P. Editorial bias, nepotism, and the "club culture" in Indian medical journals. *Indian J Med Ethics*. 2026 Jan-Mar; 11(1) NS: 84-85. DOI: 10.20529/IJME.2025.088

Submission received: September 3, 2025

Submission accepted: November 5, 2025

Published online first: November 20, 2025

Copyright and license

©Indian Journal of Medical Ethics 2025: Open Access and Distributed under the Creative Commons license ([CC BY-NC-ND 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/)), which permits only non-commercial and non-modified sharing in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

1. Das KK. No compromise to ethical research and publications. *Indian J Health Sci Biomed Res KLEU*. 2017 Jan 1;10(1):1-2. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2349-5006.198571>
2. Scanff A, Naudet F, Cristea IA, Moher D, Bishop DV, Locher C. A survey of biomedical journals to detect editorial bias and nepotistic behaviour. *PLoS Biol*. 2021 Nov 23;19(11):e3001133. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001133>
3. Wager E, Kleinert S. Responsible research publication: international standards for authors. *Pril (Makedon Akad Nauk Umet Odd Med Nauki)*. 2014;35(3):35-41. <https://doi.org/10.1515/prilozi-2015-0006>
4. Resnik DB, Elmore SA. Ensuring the quality, fairness, and integrity of journal peer review: A possible role of editors. *Sci Eng Ethics*. 2016 Feb;22(1):169-88. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-015-9625-5>
5. Gasparyan AY, Nurmashov B, Voronov AA, Gerasimov AN, Koroleva AM, Kitas GD. The pressure to publish more and the scope of predatory publishing activities. *J Korean Medical Sci*. 2016 Dec 1;31(12):1874-8. <https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2016.31.12.1874>