DISCUSSION

Reconsidering the ethics of off-label medication use: A response to Braillon and Naudet on baclofen use in alcohol use disorders in India

DHEERAJ KATTULA

_____

Abstract

High diffusion of the use of baclofen in patients with alcohol use disorders (AUD) in India has raised concerns from Braillon and Naudet. They say the practice is based on poor evidence and ignores possible harms to patients. This article critiques their arguments and makes a reasoned, ethical, and evidence-informed case for baclofen as a treatment option for AUD, albeit a second-line one, especially because of issues with acceptability and cost of other approved pharmacotherapies.

Keywords: _Off-label medication, baclofen, ethics, drug regulation, alcohol use disorder_

Recently, I peer reviewed an article by Alain Braillon and Florian Naudet for the _Indian Journal of Medical Ethics_ [1]. The editor invited me to write a commentary based on my critical comments as a reviewer. As an addiction psychiatrist practising in India, I welcome the discussion of pharmacological treatment for AUD and the ethics that should guide us.

In their article, the authors raise concerns about the high diffusion of the use of baclofen in the treatment of alcohol disorder in India, as reported by Ghosh et al [2]. They say the use of Baclofen is unethical due to safety issues and a lack of evidence for efficacy. While I agree that more evidence for baclofen is always welcome, I find their article lacking in balance and a global outlook, ignoring the latest evidence, and overlooking local factors for clinical practice in India. In this response, I critically evaluate their claims, discuss the ethics of off-label use of medications, and make a pragmatic case for baclofen use in alcohol use disorders.

Critique of the Braillon and Naudet article

Background

Braillon and Naudet have been critics of baclofen use in AUD for many years and have written many letters to editors and commentaries in scientific journals on the subject [3-7]. Their main critique arises out of questions regarding clinical trial integrity, safety concerns, and scepticism about the regulatory body. They critiqued [3] a review of an Indian academic, Professor Chittaranjan Andrade, who reviewed the randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and meta-analyses available by 2020 and gave a nuanced argument for baclofen use [8]. Prof Andrade gave a point-by-point counterargument against each point that was raised in their critique [9]. Similarly, their queries and comments have drawn logical and nuanced responses from the authors they had commented upon [10-13]. They have now raised the same queries, despite those having been answered adequately. Though their intention to ensure patient safety is good, their narrative suggests a distrust of regulators and practitioners.

Cherry picking evidence

They reference the 2018 network meta-analysis by Palpacuer et al [14] in their argument about the poor efficacy of baclofen in AUD; but ignore the latest meta-analysis by Agabio et al [15], which shows that baclofen decreases the risk of relapse to any drinking and increases the percentage of abstinent days after detoxification, without being associated with more adverse effects or dropouts [14,15]. Baclofen studies often involve complex populations, making comparisons with other drugs in AUD more difficult. Braillon and Naudet have ignored guidelines that attest to the utility of baclofen in AUD management. They fail to acknowledge that guidance from France itself has been provided after a 10-year-long period of off-label use and research [16]. When the baclofen dose is titrated in a flexible manner, clinical experience in diverse settings has shown better outcomes [17].

Ignoring aspects of the global South

Their critique reflects the perspective of the global North and ignores the challenges, such as limited healthcare infrastructure, availability of medication, and monetary constraints, that are central to treatment decisions in the global South. In India, Naltrexone and Acamprosate are expensive and are out of the reach of average citizens. Some patients do not tolerate these medications. Baclofen, on the other hand, is widely available, affordable, and acceptable, making it a pragmatic option in Indian settings. One cannot use a one-size-fits-all approach, especially when it is not aligned with the lived experiences of clinicians and patients in countries like India. Many Indian AUD patients have comorbid anxiety, which may benefit from baclofen's GABA-B agonist properties [18].

Misrepresentation of safety profile

The tone of Braillon and Naudet's article [1] is likely to create fear in the reader. However, it is important to note that, like other drugs used in AUD, baclofen has a dose-dependent risk. Otherwise, it is safe even in those with comorbidities [19]. There is a greater risk of misuse with benzodiazepines,

which are standard treatment in alcohol withdrawal, than with baclofen [20]. Therefore, the argument flagging addiction risk also fails.

Lacking nuance in argument regarding ethics

The authors believe that off-label use of drugs is inherently unethical without evidence from randomised controlled trials. Off-label use refers to prescribing a medication for an indication, age group, dosage, or route of administration that is not approved by regulatory agencies like the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or the Drugs Controller General of India (DCGI). A drug used for a particular indication has already gone through safety checks. Use of such a medication for a new indication based on a scientific rationale is sound scientifically and ethically [21]. How else do you get case reports and case series, which become the basis for clinical trials, which would also be the basis for regulatory approval and clinical guidelines? Informed consent, clinical justification, and patient monitoring are all central to ethical practice, all of which can be expected in Indian clinical settings.

Can we ethically use off-label medications? If yes, how?

We have an obligation to help patients by using all the tools available to improve health and provide relief from suffering, based on the principle of beneficence. Off-label use of medication may be the only, or the most effective, treatment available. For example, one may use tramadol to treat a person dependent on heroin, when there is no access to approved drugs like buprenorphine or methadone. The principle of non-maleficence can be adhered to, especially if the patient is monitored well as, usually, the drug which is to be prescribed has been used for other indications for a long time and has a well-established safety profile. When patients are provided with adequate information about the condition, rationale for use, the truth about the use being "off-label", and the uncertainties about response and adverse effects — and patients make informed choices — the principle of autonomy can be considered to have been followed. It is also important that the decision aligns with the principle of providing equitable access to treatment and being cost-effective, thereby upholding the principle of justice [22].

A pragmatic case for baclofen use in AUD

Mechanism of action

Baclofen is an agonist at GABA-B receptors. It reduces alcohol cravings by dampening mesolimbic dopamine release [23]. It is especially useful in patients with comorbid benzodiazepine use, anxiety disorders, or liver dysfunction.

Clinical evidence

A systematic review from the Cochrane Collaboration has concluded that baclofen increases the percentage of days abstinent from alcohol and probably decreases the risk of relapse to any drinking [15]. There is meta-analytic evidence

for safety even in liver disease [19]. There is a consensus among international experts in the form of the Cagliari statement on baclofen use (off-label) to treat AUD patients [24]. Several studies from India have also demonstrated its safety and efficacy [25-27].

Suitability in Indian settings

Baclofen is cost-effective and suitable for use in low-resource or rural settings. It would cost about Rs 50 per day in comparison to naltrexone, which would cost about Rs 75 per day, and acamprosate, which would cost about Rs 120 per day [28]. Additionally, it does not require monitoring of liver enzymes like naltrexone and has a benign renal clearance profile compared to acamprosate.

Conclusion

While it is important not to see baclofen as a magic pill in the treatment of AUD, and to exercise caution while using it, outright rejection based on selective evidence and improper ethical arguments undermines the interests of patients. Baclofen, when used appropriately, could be a valuable second-line treatment option for AUD, particularly in resource-constrained settings like India.

Author: Dheeraj Kattula (dheeraj.kattula@cmcvellore.ac.in), Professor and Acting Head of Psychiatry-II, Department of Psychiatry, Christian Medical College, Vellore 632 002, INDIA

Copyright and license

References

1. Braillon A, Naudet F. Off-label use of baclofen for alcohol use disorders in India: no ethics without science. *Indian J Med Ethics.* Published online first on May 29, 2025. https://doi.org/10.20529/IJME.2025.044
2. Ghosh S, Modak T, Rozatkar AR. A Survey of Medication Diffusion and Attitudes Among Indian Clinicians Toward Pharmacotherapies for Alcohol Use Disorder. I*ndian J Psychol Med.* 2024 Nov;46(6):544–51. https://doi.org/10.1177/02537176241229197
3. Braillon A, Naudet F. Baclofen, a French Exception, Seriously Harms Alcohol Use Disorder Patients Without Benefit. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2021 Jan 12;82(1):20lr13642. https://doi.org/10.4088/jcp.20lr13642
4. Braillon A. Baclofen and protecting the patients during research. *Alcohol Alcohol* 2012;47(5):631; author reply 631-632. https://doi.org/10.1093/alcalc/ags060
5. Braillon A, Naudet F. Baclofen and alcohol use disorders: From miracle to mirage. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2017 Jul;27(7):691–2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2017.05.003

6. Naudet F, Braillon A, Cristea IA, Lexchin J. Restoring the Bacloville trial: efficacy and harms. *Addiction.* 2020 Nov;115(11):2184–6. https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15109

7. Naudet F, Braillon A. Baclofen and alcohol in France. *Lancet Psychiatry.* 2018 Dec;5(12):961–2. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2215-0366(18)30419-x

8. Andrade C. Individualized, High-Dose Baclofen for Reduction in Alcohol Intake in Persons With High Levels of Consumption. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2020 Aug 4;81(4):20f13606. https://doi.org/10.4088/jcp.20f13606

9. Andrade C. Dr Andrade Replies. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2021 Jan 12;82(1):20lr13642a. https://doi.org/10.4088/jcp.20lr13642a

10. Rigal L, Sidorkiewicz S, Le Jeunne C, Porcher R, Jaury P. Reply to comments on the Rigal et al. (2019) Bacloville trial. *Addiction.* 2020;115(11):2186–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15104

11. Franchitto N, De Haro L, Pélissier F. Focusing solely on the effect of the medication without taking a holistic view of the patient does not seem very constructive. *Clin Toxicol Phila.* 2018 Apr;56(4):309. https://doi.org/10.1080/15563650.2017.1373781

12. Caputo F, Domenicali M, Bernardi M. Reply. *Hepatology.* 2019 Jun;69(6):2713. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.30574

13. Beraha EM, Salemink E, Goudriaan AE, van den Brink W, Wiers RW. Response to "Baclofen and alcohol use disorders: From miracle to mirage." *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2017 Jul 1;27(7):693–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2017.05.002

14. Palpacuer C, Duprez R, Huneau A, Locher C, Boussageon R, Laviolle B, et al. Pharmacologically controlled drinking in the treatment of alcohol dependence or alcohol use disorders: a systematic review with direct and network meta-analyses on nalmefene, naltrexone, acamprosate, baclofen and topiramate. *Addict Abingdon Engl.* 2018 Feb;113(2):220–37. https://doi.org/10.1111/add.13974

15. Agabio R, Saulle R, Rösner S, Minozzi S. Baclofen for alcohol use disorder. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2023 Jan 13;1(1):CD012557. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.cd012557.pub3

16. Rolland B, Karila L, Franchitto N, Barrault C, Naassila M, French Alcohol Society. Should the French approval of baclofen for alcohol dependence be extended to abstinence maintenance? *L'Encephale.* 2024 Sep 6;S0013-7006(24)00138-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.encep.2024.05.001

17. de Beaurepaire R, Sinclair JMA, Heydtmann M, Addolorato G, Aubin HJ, Beraha EM, et al. The Use of Baclofen as a Treatment for Alcohol Use Disorder: A Clinical Practice Perspective. *Front Psychiatry.* 2019 Jan 4; 9:708. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00708

18. Singh S, Balhara YPS. A Review of Indian Research on Co-occurring Psychiatric Disorders and Alcohol use Disorders. *Indian J Psychol Med.* 2016;38(1):10–9. https://doi.org/10.4103/0253-7176.175089

19. Duan F, Zhai H, Liu C, Chang C, Song S, Li J, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis: Efficacy and safety of baclofen in patients with alcohol use disorder co-morbid liver diseases. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2023 Aug 1;164:477–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2023.06.042

20. Gulati P, Chavan BS, Sidana A. Comparative efficacy of baclofen and lorazepam in the treatment of alcohol withdrawal syndrome. *Indian J Psychiatry.* 2019;61(1):60–4. https://doi.org/10.4103/psychiatry.indianjpsychiatry_40_17

21. Jansen RM. Off-Label Use of Medication. *In: Beran RG, editor. Legal and Forensic Medicine.* Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2013. p. 1601–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-32338-6_19

22. Beauchamp TL, Childress JF. Principles of Biomedical Ethics. Oxford University Press, USA; [cited 2025 May 11]. Available from: https://global.oup.com/ushe/product/principles-of-biomedical-ethics-9780190640873

23. de Beaurepaire R. A Review of the Potential Mechanisms of Action of Baclofen in Alcohol Use Disorder. *Front Psychiatry.* 2018;9:506. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00506

24. Agabio R, Sinclair JM, Addolorato G, Aubin HJ, Beraha EM, Caputo F, et al. Baclofen for the treatment of alcohol use disorder: the Cagliari Statement. *Lancet Psychiatry.* 2018 Dec;5(12):957–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2215-0366(18)30303-1

25. Shukla L, Shukla T, Bokka S, Kandasamy A, Benegal V, Murthy P, et al. Correlates of Baclofen Effectiveness in Alcohol Dependence. *Indian J Psychol Med.* 2015;37(3):370–3. https://doi.org/10.4103/0253-7176.162913

26. Jose NA, Yadav P, Kapoor A, Mahla VP. Comparison between baclofen and topiramate in alcohol dependence: A prospective study. *Ind Psychiatry J.* 2019;28(1):44–50. https://doi.org/10.4103/ipj.ipj_57_19

27. Rozatkar AR, Kapoor A, Sidana A, Chavan BS. Clinical experience of baclofen in alcohol dependence: A chart review. *Ind Psychiatry J.* 2016;25(1):11–6. https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-6748.196043

28. Online Pharmacy India. [cited 2025 May 11]. Available from: https://www.1mg.com/