## 'Evidence-biased therapy'

Regarding our article (1), and Meenal Mamdani's critique of it (2), our article ought to have been titled 'Evidence-biased therapy' just to drive home the simple fact that modern medicine with all its diagnostic/ therapeutic wizardry, treats, according to its knowledge of cancer, merely some evidence and nothing save the evidence.

From the pre-Christian times to Charaka (3) through the Scottish physicians (4), Cheatle (5), Kiricuta and Bucur (6), down to Logan (7) as recently as 1975, there is enough and clear evidence that breast cancer by itself is mere evidence, the removal of which does not in any way alter the basic process, and often worsening it. The therapeutic restraint that the authorities quoted here emphasised was more than vindicated by the candour of Nobel laureate Pauling (8): "Everyone should know that the war on cancer is largely a fraud, and that the National Cancer Institute and the

American Cancer Society are derelict in their duties to the people who support them."

All cancer therapy is glorified palliation (9-14), the chief raison d'etre of which is to ease if there is disease. Our Mrs Kothari had a large lump but no dis-ease so she was profitably left alone. The other patient was sailing in the same boat, but her wellintentioned doctors chose to "attack" her dis-ease-less tumour and forthwith dispatched her to her maker. Dr Mamdani should see the evidence that this small controlled trial provides.

Leslie Foulds (15), the noted oncologist and author who died of undiagnosed, advanced colonic cancer, classified cancers into 'good' and 'bad', but on a retrospective basis. depending on how they behaved post-treatment. The many parameters that cancer doctors are searching for to help them predict the behaviour of a particular tumour are just not there. That being so, it is good to follow a general rule: "When in doubt. don't."

M L Kothari, L A Mehta, V M Kothari, G S Medical College, Parel, Mumbai 400 012.

References: 1. Kothari Manu et al: Ethics and evidence-based therapy, Issues in Medical Ethics, 1999; 16-18. 2. Mamdani M: Anecdotes do not make evidence. Issues in Medical Ethics, 1999; 7:36, 3, Das Gunta D, Kothari ML, Mehta LA. Cancer Pain : An Indian Perspective, in 'Cancer Pain Management - Principles and Practice.' Editor: Winston CV Butterworth - Heinemann Paris, 1997, 567-74. 4. Cancer problems 160 years ago. Institution for investigating the nature of cancer. Int. J. Cancer, 2:281, 1967. This article originally appeared in the Edinburgh Medical and Surgical Journal, 2:382,1806. 5. Cheatle, GL: Important early symptoms in diseases of breast. Brit. Med. J., 2:47, 1927. 6. Kiricuta I and Bucur M: Prognostic value of malignant evolution. Onset in breast cancer. Tenth International Cancer Congress. Abstracts, Houston, Texas, 1970, (Abstract 1199), p. 732. 7. Logan WPD; Cancer of the breast: no decline in mortality. WHO Chronicle, 29:462, 1975. 8. Pauling L: Quoted by Moss RW: The Cancer Syndrome, Grove Press, NY, 1980 Front Cover. 9. Kothari ML and Mehta Iona A: The nature of Cancer (Bombay: Kothari Medical Publications, 1973). 10. Kothari ML and Mehta LA: Cancer Myths and Realities of Cause and Cure, Marion Boyars, London, 1978. 11. Kothari ML and Mehta LA: Kanker - Fabels en feiten. Het Wereldvenster, Amsterdam, 1981.12. Kothari ML and Mehta LA: 1st # Kerbs eine Krankheit, Rowohlt, Hamburg, 1979. 13. Kothari ML and Mehta LA: Death - A new Perspective on the Phenomena of Disease and Dying, Marion Boyars, London, 1986. 14. Kothari ML and Mehta LA: Violence in modern medicine. In, Science, Hegemony, and Violence, (Ed: Nandy A), OUP, Oxford, 1988. 15. Foulds L: Neoplastic Development. I. Academic Press, London, New York, 1969.7

## Uninformed consent, but ethical anyway?

(In response to the report regarding a mentally disabled man, Prakash, whose kidney was transplanted into his brother, Subramanyam, we asked readers to send in their responses 1. Did Prakash give his informed consent for his kidney to be removed and transplanted into his brother?)

Actually, Prakash did not 'donate' his kidney. His mother did it presumably for the greater good of the greatest number. The patient's family, which included Prakash himself, wanted to save the life of their sole breadwinner.

As Dr Arun Bal points out (2), informed consent has both legal and ethical aspects. Legallly speaking, Prakash's autonomy was restricted because of his mental disability. It was also the ethical obligation of the family to save Subramanyam's life.

The mother, in her discretion, presumed her mentally challenged son's consent, a 1:1 possibility if he were mentally sound. The 'donation' might be interpreted as amounting to 'battery and assault'. It could also eventually protect the quality of life for the family of five.

In the circumstances, it was a family obligation fulfilled by Prakash without his being capable of understanding his 'humane' act.

**NG Wagle**, 31 Radheshyam Apts, Juhu Lane Andheri (W), Mumbai 400 058

Reference: 1. What do you think? *Issues in Medical Ethics*, 1999; VII (2): 38. 2. Bal Arun: 'Informed consent: legal and ethical aspects. *Issues in Medical Ethics*, 1999. VII (2): 56-7

## CALENDAR

August 6-8, 1999, Philadelphia, PA, USA: Mid-Atlantic Conference in the History of Science, Medicine, and Technology, University of Pennsylvania http://ccat.sas.upenn.edu/hss/home/hm\_mac.htm

September 10-11, 1999: Belfast, Ireland: Feminisms and Rhetorics. School of Anthropological Studies, Queen University of Belfast. Further details and registration from: Iwan

Rhys Morus, School of Anthropological Studies, Queen University, Belfast BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland.

December 15-17 1999. Antwerp,
Belgium. Tuberculosis: The real
millenium bug. Addressing the threat in
developing and industrialised countries.
41st International Colloquium of the Institute
of Tropical Medicine. Contact the
Colloquium Secretariat at: Danielle Van
Melle, Institute of Tropical Medicine,
Nationalestraat 155, 2000, Antwerp,
Belgium; phone +32 3 247-6206;
fax +32 3 247-6213; e-mail dymelle@itq.be





