

society need it. Nor do Jews worldwide need it. Sectarianism and exclusion have caused them enough suffering.

References

1. The Declaration of Istanbul on Organ Trafficking and Transplant Tourism [Internet] [cited 2016 Oct 6]. Available from: <http://www.declarationofistanbul.org/about-the-declaration/structure-and-content>
2. Lavee J, Ashkenazi T, Gurman G, Steinberg D. A new law for allocation of donor organs in Israel. *Lancet*. 2010;375(9720):1131–3.
3. Veatch RM, Ross LF (eds). *Transplantation Ethics*, Second Edition. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press; 2015, p 393–5.
4. Moorlock G, Ives J, Bramhall S, Draper H. Should we reject donated organs or permit allocation using non-medical criteria? A qualitative study. *Bioethics*. 2016;30(4):282–92. doi: 10.1111/bioe.12169.
5. Cronin AJ, Douglas JF. Directed and conditional deceased organ donations: laws and misconceptions. *Med Law Rev*. 2010;18(3):275–301.
6. Wilkinson TM. Racist organ donors and saving lives. *Bioethics*. 2007;21(2):63–74.
7. Komesaroff PA, Kerridge IH, Stewart C, Samuel G, Lipworth W, Jordens CF. Racially conditional donation: the example of umbilical cord blood. *J Law Med*. 2012;19(3):517–24.
8. Racist organ donation condemned. *BBC News* 24 Feb 2000 [Internet] [cited 2016 Oct 6]. Available from: <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/health/652132.stm>
9. Department of Health, UK. Report of the Panel. An Investigation into Conditional Organ Donation, 2000.
10. NHS Blood and Transplant. POL200/3. 2010. Requested Allocation of a Deceased Donor Organ; [Internet] [cited 2016 Oct 6]. Available from: http://www.odt.nhs.uk/pdf/introduction_to_selection_and_allocation_policies.pdf
11. Tibell A. The Transplantation Society statement on directed and conditional donation. *Transplantation*. 2008;85(11):1538–9. doi: 10.1097/TP.0b013e318172db18.
12. United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) Ethics Committee. Ethical Principles in the Allocation of Human Organs, IV(B); 2010 [Internet] [cited 2016 Oct 6]. Available from: <https://optn.transplant.hrsa.gov/resources/ethics/ethical-principles-in-the-allocation-of-human-organs/>
13. Epstein M, Danovitch GM. Is altruistic directed living unrelated organ donation a legal fiction? *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2009;24(2):357–60. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfn669.
14. Dew MA, Jacobs CL, Jowsey SG, Hanto R, Miller C, Delmonico FL; United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS); American Society of Transplant Surgeons; American Society of Transplantation. Guidelines for the psychosocial evaluation of living unrelated kidney donors in the United States. *Am J Transplant*. 2007;7(5):1047–54.
15. Pegg A, Klein A. The ethics of living donation. In: Kirk AD, Knechtle SJ, Larsen CP, et al, editors. *Textbook of Organ Transplantation*. Oxford, Chichester, Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell; 2014, p 1656.
16. Kellner Y. High kidney morals. *Yediot Achronot* [Internet]. 2015 Apr 1 [cited 2016 Oct 6]. Hebrew. Available from: <http://article.yedioth.co.il/default.aspx?articleid=9261>
17. Ehrlich Y. Moral kidney donors. *Yediot Achronot* [Internet]. 2016 Apr 28 [cited 2016 Oct 6]. Hebrew. Available from: <http://www.yediot.co.il/articles/0,7340,L-4796824,00.html>
18. Elizer R. Following his brother: Elyashiv donated a kidney to a man he had not known. *Yediot Achronot* [Internet]. 2016 Aug 22 [cited 2016 Oct 6]. Hebrew. Available from: <http://www.ynet.co.il/articles/0,7340,L-4844577,00.html>
19. Matnat Chaim – Volunteers for Kidney Transplantation [Internet] [cited 2016 Oct 6]. Available from: <http://www.kilya.org.il/en/>
20. Matnat Chaim – Kidney Transplantation [Internet]. 2016 May 18 [cited 2016 Oct 6]. Hebrew. Available from: <https://www.facebook.com/matnatichaim/?fref=ts>
21. Lanski N. Surprise kidney. *Israel Hayom* [Internet]. 2015 Jan 30 [cited 2016 Oct 6]. Hebrew. Available from: <http://www.israelhayom.co.il/article/254149>
22. Pevsner Bashan Y. How did rabbi Haber convince 311 Israelis to donate a kidney? *Mako* [Internet]. 2016 Jun 23 [cited 2016 Oct 6]. Hebrew. Available from: <http://www.mako.co.il/health-weekend/Article-cc39d4f42677551006.htm?sCh=7d61bdd9ccbc4310&pld=1471243973&Partner=mw>
23. Lynfield B. Israel election: Benjamin Netanyahu claims shock victory despite ugly racism row overshadowing final acts of bitter campaign. *Independent* [Internet]. 2015 Mar 17 [cited 2016 Oct 6]. Available from: <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/middle-east/israel-election-benjamin-netanyahu-fights-for-survival-as-ugly-racism-row-overshadows-final-acts-of-10114922.html>
24. Siegel-Itzkovitch J, Ben Solomon A. Smotrich supports hospital room segregation between Jews and Arabs. *The Jerusalem Post* [Internet]. 2016 May 4 [cited 2016 Oct 6]. Available from: <http://www.jpost.com/Israel-News/Report-Various-Israeli-hospitals-separate-pregnant-Jewish-Arab-on-request-450271>
25. IDF general in bombshell speech: Israel today shows signs of 1930s Germany. *The Jerusalem Post* [Internet]. 2016 Apr 5 [cited 2016 Oct 6]. Available from: <http://www.jpost.com/Israel-News/Politics-And-Diplomacy/IDF-general-in-bombshell-speech-Israel-today-shows-signs-of-1930s-Germany-453142>

Use of pellet guns for crowd control in Kashmir: How lethal is “non-lethal”?

SIDDARTH DAVID

Abstract

The use of pellet guns during the recent unrest in Kashmir as a method of crowd control has been questioned because of several

deaths and numerous injuries. Across the world, these rubber pellets have been shown to inflict serious injuries, permanent disability, and death. The volatility of mob violence, inaccuracies in aim of the pellets, over-use of the pellet guns, and the perception of their harmlessness enhances the destructive potential of these so-called non-lethal weapons. There is also the larger ethical question whether any form of pain, however minimal, could be inflicted to control violent crowds.

Nearly 90 days, 80 deaths and more than 10,000 injuries later, the protests and mob violence accompanied by paramilitary and police action to control them continue in the Kashmir Valley in India (1,2). The unrest that began in July 2016 over

Author: **Siddarth David** (siddarthdavid@yahoo.co.in), Senior Research Officer, Implementing Lancet Commission on Global Surgery in India (iLCoGS-India), INDIA; Senior Research Officer, Environmental Health Resource Hub, School of Habitat Studies, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, INDIA.

To cite: David S. How lethal is non-lethal: the use of pellet guns for crowd control in Kashmir. *Indian J Med Ethics*. 2017 Apr-Jun; 2(2)NS: 124-7

Published online on December 20, 2016.

© Indian Journal of Medical Ethics 2016

the killing of a local insurgent leader by the security forces has brought to the fore the use of pellet guns to disperse the protestors. The use of pellet guns to control the crowds has left nearly 1000 people injured (3). Considered a "less-lethal" or "non-lethal" weapon, rubber or plastic-coated non-live rounds are used across the world to manage agitating mobs with the intention of causing no severe injury or death (4, 5). However, studies across the world (4–7), including from Kashmir (8, 9), have repeatedly shown that the use of these "non-lethal" weapons often leads to serious injuries, permanent disability, and death.

First used in response to the civil unrest in Northern Ireland in the 1970s, such "non-lethal" weapons have been documented to cause injuries and death (10). In India, the paramilitary forces first used pellet guns during mob demonstrations in 2010 in Kashmir, which resulted in the death of 120 people; since then these guns have been used for crowd control in Kashmir (11).

The "non-lethal" guns are reported to be shot guns of 12-gauge pump action, which are primarily used in hunting with a wide range of pellet sizes and numbers (12). The smaller the size of the pellet, the larger the number of pellets in one cartridge; so a No.1 cartridge has a fewer number of bigger size pellets while a No.12 cartridge has a larger number of smaller size pellets (12). In the current protests in Kashmir, mostly cartridges No. 6 (300 pellets of 2.79 mm each) and No. 9 (600 pellets of 2.30 mm each) were used (12). For both these very small size pellets, what matters is the distance from which the pellet guns are fired. Usually, they have a range of around 45 metres and hence stipulated to be shot only from a distance beyond 50 metres (12,13). If used at closer ranges, the pellets do not have enough time to disperse and travel in a compact group which move at very high velocities, making them extremely harmful, almost behaving like hand gun bullets, enough to penetrate deep and cause severe damage to bone and tissue (12,14).

Apart from keeping a firing distance of more than 50 metres, instructions for using the pellet guns in crowd control only under dire circumstances include aiming for the lower body parts, thus causing minimum injury. These conditions have been outlined in the United Nations' "Basic Principles on the Use of Force and Firearms by Law Enforcement Officials" and India's own laws on crowd control (15,16). But reports have repeatedly shown that these conditions are often impossible to follow given the stressful situations under which crowds have to be managed (5,17). Moreover, studies have indicated that even beyond the distance of 50 metres the pellets may disperse haphazardly and hit at other parts of the body, even if aimed at the legs (4,18). This wayward behaviour of pellets combined with improper aim and range of use is responsible for severe injuries and death from these non-lethal weapons.

Clinical studies on survivors and victims of pellet gun injuries in Kashmir show that only one-third of the injury sites were the lower limbs, the remaining affected other parts of the body with more than one-fourth hitting the head region (8, 9,19). A study of ocular pellet gun injuries in Kashmir showed that

one-third of the survivors permanently lost their eyesight (20). This is consistent with other studies from around the world (5–7). Additionally, often bystanders and those observing from their homes also get hit by the pellets (11). The outcomes of these injuries documented in the literature are amputations, permanent disability or loss of life. Apart from physiological and psychological damage, the costs for treatment, disability costs and loss of livelihoods pose a life-long economic burden on the survivors. Thus, far from being a benign non-lethal weapon, pellet guns have far-reaching human costs.

Various human rights groups including Amnesty International have repeatedly condemned the use of pellets by the security forces and have asked for a ban on their use (21–23). The response from the government has ranged from promises to set up a panel to consider alternatives instead of pellets to claiming it a "necessary evil" for crowd control (24,25). The response by the security forces to a petition filed in the Jammu and Kashmir High Court by lawyers to ban the use of pellets was that such a ban would push the use of guns for crowd control leading to more deaths (26). The court ultimately ruled that the use of pellets cannot be banned as it felt that the use of force was necessary to tackle unruly crowds and it was up to the police and security forces to decide what kind of force was to be used (27). Thus, the courts perpetuated the discourse that use of force was legitimate in dealing with mobs and moreover, it was the discretion of the security forces to decide on the nature of the force. Rather than restraining the mobs, the mortality and morbidity caused by pellet guns have further propelled more people out on the streets, thus questioning the tactical policy of using pellet guns.

Many law enforcement agencies and paramilitary bodies believe that options such as pellet guns reduce the likelihood of use of more deadly force that would put the protestors at greater risk (28). But a study on police officers from Australia shows that the use of non-lethal weapons is often employed to reduce the level of risk to which the police officers themselves are exposed than to reduce the level of risk faced by the protestors (29). It is also pointed out that the use of "non-lethal" weapons would be much more indiscriminate without exploring other strategies to control the mob that require no force at all because these weapons are considered less harmful (28,30). In other words, access to "non-lethal" weapons seems to encourage their use in situations where they are not required. This point is underscored by the fact that nearly 1.3 million pellets were used by the paramilitary forces in just a month in Kashmir (31). Additionally, in the Indian context, such pellet guns have been used only in mob protests in Kashmir and Manipur, which have active insurgencies (11), but not to control recent violent mob agitations in other parts of the country such as Gujarat and Haryana. This raises the questions whether the disproportionate use of force was an extension of counter-insurgency operations and whether there is lack of political will to address the reasons behind crowd agitations (11, 32).

The use of non-lethal weapons only in self-defence and to protect life is contrary to the UN principles and India's own

police laws. Evidence suggests that the self-defence argument is not always valid because there is a wide scope of incorrect use and even misuse. There the larger ethical dilemma concerning the use of non-lethal weapons: is inflicting some form of pain necessary to deter a person from indulging in violent rampages? Can unruly crowds be effectively controlled only through the use of force? Even the most commonly used crowd control mechanism globally, ie tear gas, is under scrutiny given the range of health issues it causes (33). Moreover, the Chemical Weapons Convention which was adopted in 1997 and to which India is a signatory bans the use of any form of chemical agent (34–35), yet tear gas is still used across the country to manage crowds.

While there is a need to develop strategies to address and manage agitating and violent mobs with minimum force, there are few non-lethal weapons that can do this without inflicting injuries. Not many weapons can cause effects that are temporary and reversible without any medical intervention, yet unpleasant enough to ensure crowd compliance; certainly not pellet guns. The fact that volatile conditions, inaccuracies in the aim of the pellets, over-use of the pellet guns and the perception of their harmlessness exacerbate the damaging effects of these guns. There is an urgent need to debate the use of non-lethal weapons especially pellet guns in crowd management. Highlighting their lethal effects and the counter-effect of fuelling more protests need to be considered to advocate for change in policy on their use. The fig-leaf of “necessary evil” or “protecting national interests” cannot be used to cover up the overwhelming evidence that pellet guns can seriously injure and kill. Public discourse is required on what would be ideal and less-harmful methods to control crowds as well as on how harmless should non-lethal be.

References

- Wani F. Kashmir unrest: two more die, death toll rises to 76 [Internet]. *New Indian Express*. September 6, 2016 [cited 2016 Sep 29]. Available from: <http://www.newindianexpress.com/nation/2016/sep/06/Kashmir-unrest-Two-more-die-death-toll-rises-to-76-1516659.html>
- TNN. Pakistan fomenting trouble, but Modi will solve Kashmir issue: Mehbooba Mufti [Internet]. *Times of India*. August 27, 2015 [cited 2016 Sep 29]. Available from: <http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/Pakistan-fomenting-trouble-but-Modi-will-solve-Kashmir-issue-Mehbooba-Mufti/articleshow/53886822.cms>
- Ashiq P. 14% of pellet gun victims in Kashmir are below 15 [Internet]. *Hindu*. August 22, 2015 [cited 2016 Sep 30]. Available from: <http://www.thehindu.com/news/national/other-states/14-of-pellet-gun-victims-in-jk-below-15/article9014946.ece>
- Lavy T, Asleh SA. Ocular rubber bullet injuries. *Eye (Lond)*. 2003;17(7):821–4. doi:10.1038/sj.eye.6700447.
- Khonsari RH, Fleuridas G, Arzul L, Lefevre F, Vincent C, Bertolus C. Severe facial rubber bullet injuries: less lethal but extremely harmful weapons. *Injury*. 2010;41(1):73–6. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2009.05.018.
- Rezende-Neto J, Silva FD, Porto LB, Teixeira LC, Tien H, Rizoli SB. Penetrating injury to the chest by an attenuated energy projectile: a case report and literature review of thoracic injuries caused by “less-lethal” ammunitions. *World J Emerg Surg*. 2009;4:26. doi: 10.1186/1749-7922-4-26.
- Mahajna A, Aboud N, Harbaji I, Agbaria A, Lankovsky Z, Michaelson M, Fisher D, Krausz MM. Blunt and penetrating injuries caused by rubber bullets during the Israeli-Arab conflict in October 2000: a retrospective study. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9320):1795–800.
- Dhar SA, Dar TA, Wani SA, Maajid S, Bhat JA, Mir NA, Dar IH, Hussain S. Pattern of rubber bullet injuries in the lower limbs: a report from Kashmir. *Chin J Traumatol*. 2016;19(3):129–33. doi:10.1016/j.cjtee.2015.05.005.
- Mushtaque M, Mir MF, Bhat M, Parray FQ, Khanday SA, Dar RA, Malik AA. Pellet gunfire injuries among agitated mobs in Kashmir. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg*. 2012;18(3):255–9. doi: 10.5505/tjtes.2012.47639.
- Cohen MA. Plastic bullet injuries of the face and jaws. *S Afr Med J*. 1985;68:849–52.
- Chakravarty I. Kashmir unrest: why are the crowd control failures of 2010 being repeated in 2016? [Internet]. *Scroll.in* July 15, 2015 [cited 2016 Sep 29]. Available from: <http://scroll.in/article/811728/kashmir-unrest-why-were-the-crowd-control-failures-of-2010-repeated-in-2016>
- Singh A. Kashmir unrest: these aren't “non-lethal pellet guns” – they're shotguns and they can be deadly [Internet]. *Scroll.in* July 22, 2015 [cited 2016 Oct 01]. Available from: <http://scroll.in/article/812229/kashmir-unrest-these-arent-non-lethal-pellet-guns-theyre-shotguns-and-they-can-be-very-lethal>
- Noronha R. Why is the non-lethal pellet gun killing people in Kashmir? [Internet]. *Dailyo.in* July 21, 2015 [cited 2016 Oct 01]. Available from: <http://www.dailyo.in/politics/kashmir-violence-non-lethal-pellet-gun-burhan-wani-jk-police-indian-army-ammunition-effective-firing-range/story/1/11895.html>
- DiMaio VJ, Dana SE. *Handbook of forensic pathology*, 2nd ed. Florida: CRC Press; 2006.
- United Nations. Basic principles on the use of force and firearms by law enforcement officials. Eighth United Nations Congress on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders, Havana, Cuba; August 27 to September 7, 1990 [cited 2016 Sep 30]. Available from: <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/UseOfForceAndFirearms.aspx>
- Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative. Standards and procedure for crowd control. New Delhi: Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative; 2005 [cited 2016 Sep 28]. Available from: http://www.humanrightsinitiative.org/programs/aj/police/papers/standard_procedure_for_crowd_control.pdf
- Hiss J, Hellman FN, Kahana T. Rubber and plastic ammunition lethal injuries: the Israeli experience. *Med Sci Law*. 1997;37:139–44.
- Wahl P, Schreyer N, Yersin B. Injury pattern of the flash-ball, a less-lethal weapon used in law enforcement: report of two cases and review of the literature. *J Emerg Med* 2006;31:325–30.
- Wani ML, Ahangar AG, Ganie FA, Wani SN, Lone GN, Dar AM, Bhat MA, Singh S. Pattern, presentation and management of vascular injuries due to pellets and rubber bullets in a conflict zone. *J Emerg Trauma Shock*. 2013;6(3):155–8. doi: 10.4103/0974-2700.115318.
- Lone IA, Rashid W, Shaheen N, Sajjad S. Pattern of gun pellet ocular injuries in Kashmir, India. *Afr J Trauma*. 2014;3(2):76–80. doi:10.4103/1597-1112.154929.
- Amnesty International. J&K authorities must allow medical care for injured protestors, not use excessive or arbitrary force [Internet]. *Amnesty International*. July 11, 2016 [cited 2016 Nov 20]. Available from: <https://www.amnesty.org.in/show/news/jk-authorities-must-allow-medical-care-for-injured-protestors-not-use-exces/>
- Amnesty International. Global Standards on police use of force violated in Kashmir [Internet]. *Amnesty International*. September 12, 2016 [cited 2016 Nov 20]. Available from: <https://www.amnesty.org.in/show/news/global-standards-on-police-use-of-force-violated-in-kashmir/>
- IANS. Amnesty International blames India for excessive use of force in Kashmir [Internet]. *Business Standard*. September 12, 2016 [cited 2016 Nov 20]. Available from: http://www.business-standard.com/article/current-affairs/amnesty-international-blames-india-for-excessive-use-of-force-in-kashmir-116091200434_1.html
- Bukhari F. India to consider alternatives to pellet gun use in Kashmir – Rajnath Singh [Internet]. *Reuters*. Jul 22, 2016 [cited 2016 Nov 20]. Available from: <http://in.reuters.com/article/india-kashmir-pellet-guns-idINKCN101235>
- Akhzer A. Kashmir protests: new kind of pellets causing more damage than before [Internet]. *Indian Express*. Jul 16, 2016 [cited 2016 Nov 20]. Available from: <http://indianexpress.com/article/india/india-news-india/kashmir-protests-non-lethal-weapon-pellets-causing-more-damage-to-eyes-doctors-2918249/>
- PTI. More fatalities if pellet guns are banned, CRPF tells Jammu and Kashmir high court [Internet]. *Times of India*. August 19, 2016 [cited 2016 Nov 20]. Available from: <http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/>

More-fatalities-if-pellet-guns-are-banned-CRPF-tells-Jammu-and-Kashmir-high-court/articleshow/53770849.cms

27. Ahsan S. Can't ban pellet guns, rules J&K High Court [Internet]. *Indian Express*. September 22, 2016 [cited 2016 Nov 20]. Available from: <http://indianexpress.com/article/india/india-news-india/cant-ban-pellet-guns-rules-jk-high-court-3043237/>
28. Coleman S. Possible ethical problems with military use of non-lethal weapons. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*. 2015;47(1):185-99 [cited 2016 Oct 05]. Available from: <http://scholarlycommons.law.case.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1013&context=jil>
29. Crime and Misconduct Commission. Oleoresin capicum (OC) spray use by Queensland police. Brisbane, Australia: Crime and Misconduct Commission; 2005 [cited 2016 Oct 05]. Available from: <http://www.ccc.qld.gov.au/research-and-publications/publications/police/oc-spray-oleoresin-capsicum-oc-spray-use-by-queensland-police.pdf>
30. NSW Ombudsmen. How are taser weapons used by the NSW police force? A special report to parliament under section 31 of the Ombudsman Act 1974. Sydney, Australia: NSW Ombudsmen; 2012 [cited 2016 Oct 05]. Available from: https://www.ombo.nsw.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0004/6970/How-are-Taser-weapons-used-by-NSW-Police-Force-Special-report-to-Parliament-October-2012-.pdf
31. Aashiq P. 1.3 million pellets used in 32 days, CRPF tells HC [Internet]. *The Hindu*. August 19, 2016 [cited 2016 Sep 29]. Available from: <http://www.thehindu.com/todays-paper/13-million-pellets-used-in-32-days-crpf-tells-hc/article9005202.ece>
32. Singh A. Would pellets be used in Gujarat? [Internet]. *The Economic Times*. August 6, 2016 [cited 2016 Sep 30]. Available from: <http://epaperbeta.timesofindia.com/Article.aspx?eid=31816&articlexml=Would-Pellets-Be-Used-in-Gujarat-06082016008045>
33. Centers for Disease Control. Facts about riot control agents interim document; March 21, 2013 [cited 2016 Dec 04]. Available from: <https://emergency.cdc.gov/agent/riotcontrol/factsheet.asp>
34. Organization for the Prohibition Of Chemical Weapons, Chemical Weapons Convention, Geneva, September 3, 1992 [cited 2016 Dec 04]. Available from: <https://www.opcw.org/chemical-weapons-convention/>
35. Organization for the Prohibition Of Chemical Weapons, Chemical Weapons Convention, Geneva: Member State-India [cited 2016 Dec 04]. Available from: <https://www.opcw.org/about-opcw/member-states/member-states-by-region/asia/member-state-india/>

DISCUSSION

Should a medical ethics journal discuss the actions of the security forces?

RAVINDRA B GHOOI

This refers to the comment "Use of pellet guns for crowd control in Kashmir: How lethal is 'non-lethal'?" by Siddarth David in the *Indian Journal of Medical Ethics* (1). My objection is not to the ethics of the use of pellet guns, but to the ethics of publishing such an article in a journal devoted to medical ethics.

Every coin has two faces, and every story two sides. When one discusses the violence in Kashmir following the gunning down of the self-proclaimed terrorist Burhan Wani, two versions emerge, one in favour of the protesting population and the other in favour of the security forces. Pellet guns did cause much pain and agony to the victims, this is not denied; but whether the security forces had an option needs to be discussed from an ethical point of view.

Gangs of protestors put women and children in front and threw stones, grenades and other lethal missiles at the security forces. This was a unique situation where mobs tried to set fire to bunkers, injure other citizens and the armed forces, and kill

the men in uniform by various methods. It is true that stray pellets hit some people but major injuries were caused near the bunkers of the security forces. So what could our armed men have done? These men are soldiers by training, and not ethicists and armchair philosophers.

The sovereignty of the nation is supreme, and anyone who challenges it will face the forces meant to protect the same. The army, Border Security Force (BSF), and police have no personal grudge against the protestors, but they are mandated to protect the nation and they do so with whatever means they have at their command. Soldiers are not supposed to question authority. When ordered to go into the valley of death, they have gone forth, without a thought for their own lives.

As an Indian, I would certainly question terrorists like Wani and separatists like Geelani. Do they represent the will of the people? These separatists have never won an election, hence their popularity is questionable. Even a victory in elections is meaningless, since the electorate is carefully manipulated. One community in Kashmir has been harassed and chased out of their homes, systematically, over the last 25 years. The electorate now is only made up of people whose sympathies lie in one direction.

The author states that various NGOs (including Amnesty International) have condemned the use of pellet guns; but have these organisations condemned the actions of terrorists

Author: **Ravindra B Ghooi** (ravindra.ghooi@gmail.com), Senior Consultant, Cipla Palliative Care and Training Centre, Pune, MH, INDIA.

To cite: Ghooi RB. Should a medical ethics journal discuss the actions of the security forces? *Indian J Med Ethics*. 2017 Apr-Jun;2(2)NS: 127-8.

Published online on January 27, 2017.

© *Indian Journal of Medical Ethics* 2017